

The Truth About Holocaust Denial

Hello, good evening and welcome, Shalom, Assalamu alaikum. It is August 2009, my name is Alexander Baron, and this is my fourth Internet sermon. I am an independent researcher based in London, England, and the subject of this dissertation is the truth about Holocaust Denial. It is based partly on my own researches, and partly on my own experiences.

Because of the limitations of this website, this video is in three parts.

Over the past few years a great deal has been written and broadcast about so-called Holocaust Denial in the Western media, most of it inaccurate, much of that wildly so. If you are so sweet natured, or so stupid as to believe the Western media would never lie to you so gratuitously, I suggest you watch my first video, which is called *Do You Trust The Western Media?* Alternatively, you can ask any celebrity or politician.

Broadly speaking, Holocaust Denial is described as a sinister conspiracy by neo-Nazis, anti-Semites and assorted cranks to rewrite the history of the Nazi era as a means of rehabilitating Hitler's Master Race theories, the Third Reich, etc, and/or to delegitimise the State of Israel, to blame "the Jews" – meaning Dr Cohen, Mr Rosenthal the accountant, and your local rabbi - for controlling or attempting to control the world from behind the scenes, and so on.

Some of the reaction to Holocaust Denial borders on the hysterical, there is outrage, and righteous indignation, at times there has been violence, and some of the people referred to as Holocaust Deniers have suffered legal persecution: fines and even gaol sentences, among other things.

The term Holocaust Denial/Holocaust Denier was popularised by if not invented by Deborah E. Lipstadt, who is a professional Jewish propagandist and Zionist apologist who

has the temerity to masquerade as an historian. The purpose of the term is to demonise Holocaust Revisionists, to bring them into ridicule, hatred, scorn and contempt, and to discourage honest, intelligent, independent-minded men and women – as presumably you think you are – from examining the evidence they adduce and the arguments they advance.

That is all the polemicising I am going to engage in, now I am going to give you the facts about this supposedly so difficult subject, and if you don't believe me you can check these facts for yourself with a few keystrokes – as they say, Google is your friend.

The first fact you should digest is that the word denier is a total misnomer, because no *bona fide* Revisionist denies the Holocaust. Having said that, it is always possible to find ill-informed people who harbour all manner of extreme and at times patently absurd beliefs, usually sincerely. These people are not necessarily bigoted, they may simply be wrong. In the United States there are some fundamentalist Christians who insist that the *Biblical* Creationist story should be taught in schools alongside evolution, which is of course only a theory. Many of these Creationists are also staunch supporters of Israel, and not a few of them believe homosexuals should be put to death or consigned to the pit for all eternity. It is not particularly intelligent to tar all Christians with the same brush, by the same token it is not particularly intelligent to tar all Revisionists with the Nazi brush. And in any case, the issue here is not are the Revisionists Nazis, but are they right?

Before turning to Holocaust Revisionists proper, a few words about Revisionist History in general. Far from being a Nazi invention, Revisionist History is a dissident Liberal/Libertarian tradition. We know William the Conqueror invaded England in 1066 because somebody wrote it down. For the most part, history is bits of paper. True, since the 19th Century we have had photography, then radio and telecommunications, cinema, and now the webcam [pointing] and the mobile phone, but history and to a large extent current affairs is still based on documents – ie bits of paper.

Revisionist Historians say we should subject these bits of paper to scrutiny, because not everything that is recorded on them is true, and not everything that is true, is recorded. To take just two examples, diplomats often work behind the scenes – sometimes to secure the release of hostages as we've seen in the Middle East in recent years. And sometimes powerful politicians do things they didn't ought to - Richard Nixon and Watergate, for example. Every time an appeal court quashes a conviction it is rewriting history to some extent. So Revisionist History is neither a Nazi phenomenon nor particularly sinister.

Now, to Holocaust Revisionism.

The Holocaust was an alleged extermination programme, broadly it encompasses the belief that during World War Two the German High Command – with or without the knowledge and/or approval of its head honcho, Adolf Hitler - organised the mass murder of Jews within the Third Reich, which at one point included Poland.

Persons of the Jewish religion and those defined as Jews by at times tortuous racial criteria, were herded into concentration camps where they were systematically murdered – just because they were Jewish. These murders were carried out largely in gas chambers, but also by occasional shootings in the camps, and by various means outside the camps on the Eastern front: gas vans, mass shootings, and other sorts of war time atrocities. The total number of Jews murdered is said to have been six million, although this figure is more symbolic than anything else. Holocaust Revisionists believe it to be a gross exaggeration for propaganda and other purposes. Most Revisionists believe also that the claim that Jews and at times others were exterminated in gas chambers is a propaganda lie.

I say most, but by no means all. For many years I believed this to have been the case myself, but my researches at the Imperial War Museum led me to conclude that there were indeed some small scale gassings, though not at the emblematic Auschwitz camp.

The Court Historians can be divided into two camps on this subject: there are the Functionalists, who believe that there was no extermination programme to begin with, and that it evolved out of the Final Solution – the evacuation of the Jews from Europe. Then there are the Intentionalists, who believe the Final Solution was an extermination programme all along.

The bottom line of Holocaust Revisionism is the claim that much of the Holocaust story has been greatly exaggerated for political, personal and other reasons. Leaving aside the well-documented fact that the first casualty of war is truth, this claim surely doesn't sound so outrageous in comparison with some of the nonsense peddled by all manner of cranks today. To take just one example, there are probably millions of people worldwide who believe the US Government was behind the atrocities of September 11, 2001. With just a few keystrokes you will find people who will tell you in all sincerity that George W. Bush ordered September 11, or that his predecessor, Bill Clinton, ordered the Oklahoma bombing. Ludicrous though such claims may be, preposterous criminal libels on the

President of the United States generate relatively little moral outrage, and certainly no one has ever been sentenced to gaol for making such allegations.

Now that we've put this into perspective, it is time to refute some of the many lies spread about the Holocaust Revisionists. Firstly, the claim that it is all a neo-Nazi plot. Although the allegations of mass gassings and other atrocities were greeted with skepticism when they were first made, during the Second World War, the first people to make a concerted effort to debunk such claims were not Nazis but anti-Nazis. The French socialist Paul Rassinier and the German Jew Joseph Ginsberg both suffered under Hitler. Rassinier was interned at the Buchenwald concentration camp, and Ginsberg was an ordinary Jew who suffered greatly on account of both the War and the Nazi persecution.

It goes without saying that one must attach more weight to the findings of such men than to the likes of Richard Harwood, or even of Professor Butz. And considerably more weight than to the whinings of Deborah Lipstadt, or the odious David Cesarani. These were men who had axes to grind with the Nazis, so their motives are less likely to be impure, and their findings more likely to be honest.

The first mass distribution Revisionist tract was *Did Six Million Really Die?* which is also known as *Six Million Lost And Found*...When this was reviewed, quite favourably, in a mainstream magazine, it generated both outrage and panic among the leaders of Organised Jewry. As with many Revisionist publications since, there were calls to ban it, and indeed it was banned in both then West Germany and *racist* South Africa, under the Apartheid régime. What is most interesting here is the dishonest way in which two powerful Jews engineered the banning of this pamphlet in South Africa.

I have discussed this at some length in my book *Holocaust Affirmers*, which you can download from my main website, but for most of the rest of this short video, I want to concentrate on one specific facet of Holocaust Revisionism: the use of atrocity photographs.

Atrocity photographs are probably the most powerful weapon in the armoury of those who seek to silence the Revisionists, but in recent years they have lost a lot of their potency. The reason for this is not far to seek. The public are becoming more sophisticated and more aware of the way such photographs are misrepresented.

In his book to silence all but conscious liars, *The Hoax Of The Twentieth Century*, Professor Butz makes an astute observation about this. When the British liberated Belsen at the end of the War, they found scenes of utter horror including this sort of thing.

[Belsen Bulldozer photograph exhibited]

Such photographs, particularly of Belsen, were the mass propaganda *proof* of the alleged Nazi extermination programme, in the public mind, but in fact they were no such thing. Similar photographs have been taken before and since, including in the American concentration camp at Andersonville during the Civil War.

[Andersonville photograph exhibited]

So ingrained is this propaganda in the public mind that people are often scornful of the truth. Shortly after I read *The Hoax Of The Twentieth Century* I was asked by a workmate how I explained the photographs of Belsen. Typhus, I said, and mass starvation at the end of the war when Germany was being hammered from all sides and when the lines of communication had broken down. He actually scoffed at this explanation, but if you read books by mainstream historians, they say exactly the same thing. This does not of course prevent the likes of the Socialist Workers Party, assorted so-called “anti-racist” groups, and the odious *Searchlight* magazine from exhibiting such photographs as irrefutable proof that the Nazis gassed Jews, and of the unique wickedness of the Anglo-Saxon race.

Interestingly, issue 30 of *Searchlight* magazine, undated but published around November 1977, used a photograph of the Belsen bulldozer in an anti-Revisionist article. The magazine also reprinted the front cover of the Thies Christopherson pamphlet *AUSCHWITZ TRUTH or LIE*; the bulldozer photograph was captioned “...and the truth”.

In fact, the Jews who published *Searchlight* at this time – including one who still does to this day – are fully aware of the circumstances under which this photograph was taken. So the lie is very clearly theirs. Christopherson's pamphlet is his honest, personal account of what he saw and experienced at Auschwitz. Of course, just because he didn't witness any mass murders there, doesn't mean they didn't happen. But a photograph of a bulldozer shovelling the bodies of emaciated typhus victims into a mass grave at Belsen does not

prove that the Nazis gassed Jews at Auschwitz, and to claim or imply that it does, is inherently dishonest.

This is very typical of the chicanery employed by anti-Nazi propagandists, and hatemongers - Jewish hatemongers in this case. And what do they do when they're caught with their hands in the till? What they always do, scream: "Anti-Semitic".

The misrepresentation of such photographs as atrocity photographs, the staging of atrocity photographs, or even the outright faking of such photographs, is surprisingly common. This has even been admitted by at least one Court Historian, Roger Eatwell.

Again, the stock response of the Exterminationists – when they bother to respond – is to scream "anti-Semitic". Occasionally, I personally have elicited a response like this: does it really matter? There is so much evidence against the Nazis and of mass gassings that it really doesn't matter if some of it has been staged or faked. Can you imagine a prosecuting barrister making out that argument at a murder trial? Members of the jury, there is so much evidence against the defendant that what does it matter if one or two of the prosecution witnesses perjured themselves, or if the police planted his fingerprints at the crime scene? I thought not.

But faked photographs aren't the only anomaly of the Holocaust, how about fake gas chambers? According to *The Encyclopedia Of The Holocaust*, when eyewitnesses *mistakenly* report gassings at Dachau, the Revisionists pounce on this "discrepancy". Here is one of these discrepancies; this is a delousing chamber from the Dachau concentration camp, which was liberated by the Americans.

[Photograph of Dachau delousing chamber exhibited]

Typhus was rampant in war-time Europe, and clothing was deloused in special chambers; barracks were also fumigated with Zyklon B gas. If the Americans weren't quite sure what this room was in 1945, the Jewish establishment were perfectly well aware of what it was in the 1960s and 70s when they palmed it off on a credulous public in Britain and South Africa, and undoubtedly elsewhere, as irrefutable proof of the Final Solution. In 1995 and 1996, I mailed out a satirical pamphlet to police stations exposing this gratuitous lie; you

will find a scan of this on my main website. What was the response of Organised Jewry? They tried to drag me into court and have me thrown into gaol. They failed, fortunately for them, but this is a stock response not only to Revisionists but to anyone who exposes their perfidy, brand them bigots or even criminals in order to discredit, and hopefully to silence them. Is it any wonder that Jews are – by their own admission – so universally hated, when their leaders behave like that, and when by their silence they acquiesce?

There is much more I could say about Holocaust Revisionism, but this video is designed as a taster. You will find more on my main website, InfoText Manuscripts, and a great deal more on many other, dedicated Revisionist websites. Check out to start with OneThirdOfTheHolocaustDotcom, and Dr Töben's Adelaide Institute website. You will also find authentic footage of the liberation of the camps on this site. I invite you to compare this actual footage with the claims that are made about the camps. Don't be fooled by the rhetoric and the appeals to emotion, check out the evidence, evaluate it carefully, and ask yourself, is this consistent with the stories about mass exterminations, or does it indicate something else?

At this very moment, the aforementioned Dr Töben is languishing in an Australian prison. Although this honest and courageous scholar was gaoled for contempt of court, the reality is that he was convicted of thought crime. This is the other side of so-called democracy; this is the reason we are told, our fathers and grandfathers fought and defeated so-called Fascism, so that we are to be told what we can believe, what we can think, and what we can say.

I don't claim to have all the answers about the Holocaust, none of us do, but I would invite you to study the evidence – all the evidence – and make a rational appraisal based on this evidence, on logic, and on reason rather than on gut reaction, emotion and loathing of a political ideology you have been conditioned to hate.

We can all exhibit atrocity photographs as proof of *Aryan* wickedness in the case of the Holocaust, or of Jewish evil in the case of the ongoing Zionist rape of Palestine.

[Atrocity photographs from *Israel's Right To Self-Defence* exhibited]

See what I mean?

I would also ask you to consider this. If the enemies of Holocaust Revisionism have nothing to hide, why have they reacted the way they have? Why the silent treatment, then the hysteria, the *ad hominem* attacks, the violence, the legal repression? And why has there been so very little in the way of *bona fide* academic response, and that so late in the day?

I would like to add too a personal observation, when I first began reading Revisionist literature in the early eighties, the Exterminationist lobby were terrified we would be believed. Now, they are terrified we may be right. But that's just a personal observation.